

THE NATIONAL REPUBLICAN, THURSDAY MORNING, APRIL 5, 1866.

National Republican.

THURSDAY MORNING, APRIL 5, 1866.

LOCAL AFFAIRS.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.—

TUESDAY, April 3.

On motion of Hon. O. H. Browning, Hon. Jesse G. Norton, of Indiana, was admitted an attorney and counsellor of this Court.

On motion of Hon. J. S. Black, Robert J. Atkinson, of Ohio, was admitted an attorney and counsellor of this Court.

On motion of Mr. Wilson, E. F. Fisher, Esq., of Pennsylvania, was admitted an attorney and counsellor of this Court.

ORDER OF COURT.

Ordered, That the several causes brought into this Court by writs of error or appeals from the Circuit and District Courts for the several districts within the States declared to be in rebellion by the Proclamation of the President of the United States dated August 10, 1861, be called and disposed of at the next term of this Court, under the rules thereof, and in regular order as they may stand upon the docket; and the clerk is directed to cause a copy of this order to be published in three daily papers of the city of Washington.

ORDERS IN REFERENCE TO APPEALS FROM THE COURT OF CLAIMS.

Regulations prescribed by the Supreme Court, under which appeal may be taken from the Court of Claims to said Supreme Court:

Rule 1.

In all cases hereafter decided in the Court of Claims in which, by the act of Congress such appeals are allowable, they shall be heard in the Supreme Court upon the following record, and none other:

1. A transcript of the pleadings in the case, of the final judgment or decree of the Court, and of such interlocutory orders, rulings, judgments, and decrees as may be necessary to a proper review of the cause.

2. A finding of the facts in the case by the said Court of Claims, and the conclusions of law on said facts in which the Court founds its judgment or decree.

The finding of the facts and the conclusion of law to be stated separately and certified to this Court as part of the record.

The facts so found are to be the ultimate facts or propositions which the evidence shall establish, in the nature of a special verdict, and not the evidence on which those ultimate facts are founded.—See Burr v. Des Moines Co., 2 Wallace, 162.

Rule 2.

In all cases in which judgments or decrees have heretofore been rendered, when either party is by law entitled to an appeal, the party desiring it shall make application to the Court of Claims by petition for the allowance of such appeal.

Such petition shall contain a distinct specification of the errors alleged to have been committed by said Court in its ruling judgment or decree in the case.

The Court shall, if the specification of alleged error be correctly and accurately stated, certify the same, or may certify alterations and modifications of the points decided and alleged for error as in the judgment of said Court, shall definitely, fairly and fairly present the points decided by the Court. This, with the transcript mentioned in Rule 1, (except the statement of facts and law therein mentioned,) shall constitute the record on which those cases shall be heard in the Supreme Court.

Rule 3.

In all cases an order of allowance of appeal by the Court of Claims, or the Chief Justice thereof, in execution, is essential, and the limitation of time for granting such appeal shall cease to run from the time an application is made for the allowance of appeal.

No. 40. Priscilla Ann Younger et al., plaintiffs in error, against Francis Gilheen and others.

In error to the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas.

Mr. Justice Field delivered the opinion of the Court, reversing the judgment of the said District Court, with costs, and remanding the cause with directions to award a *causa factis de novo*.

No. 135. Cornelius P. Buck, plaintiff in error, vs. Horace N. Colbath, and—

No. 136. Same vs. same.

Errors from the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota.

Mr. Justice Miller delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said Supreme Court in these cases, with costs.

No. 66. Louis Desobry, plaintiff in error vs. John Nicholson.

In error to the circuit court of the United States for the eastern district of Louisiana.

Mr. Justice Swayne delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said circuit court in this cause with costs and interest.

No. 145. John H. Durnal, claimant, &c., plaintiff in error vs. The United States.

In error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee.

Mr. Justice Davis delivered the opinion of the Court, reversing the judgment of the said Circuit Court, with costs, and remanding the cause with directions to award a *causa factis de novo*.

No. 155. Cornelius P. Buck, plaintiff in error, vs. Horace N. Colbath, and—

No. 136. Same vs. same.

Errors from the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota.

Mr. Justice Miller delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said Supreme Court in these cases, with costs.

No. 66. Louis Desobry, plaintiff in error vs. John Nicholson.

In error to the circuit court of the United States for the eastern district of Maryland.

Mr. Justice Swayne delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said circuit court in this cause.

No. 38. The United States, plaintiffs in error vs. Jeremiah Y. Deshler and others; and

No. 39. The United States, plaintiffs in error vs. Hanson Alsbury's administrators.

Errors to the district court of the United States for the western district of Texas.

Mr. Justice Clifford delivered the opinion of the Court, overruling the motion to dismiss these cases.

No. 9. Thomas H. Newell, claimant, &c., appellant vs. Alexander Norton.

Appeal from the circuit court of the United States for the eastern district of Louisiana.

Mr. Justice Grier delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said circuit court in this cause with costs.

No. 149. The Milwaukee and Minnesota R. R. Co., appellant vs. Chas. Howard.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for the District of Wisconsin.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion of the Court, dismissing this cause for want of jurisdiction.

No. 150. Lawrence G. Graham, et al., appellants, vs. Le Croix and Milwaukee R. R. Co., and

No. 151. The Milwaukee and Minnesota R. R. Co., appellant vs. Selah Chamberlain.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the U. S. for the District of Wisconsin.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause with costs.

No. 164. The United States, appellants, vs. Jose Francisco Armejo.

Appeal from the District Court of the U. S. for the Northern District of California.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion

of the Court, overruling the motions to dismiss this cause.

No. 243. The Merced Mining Company, appellants, vs. Biddle Bogg. Appeal from the Supreme Court for the State of California.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion of the Court, dismissing this cause for the want of jurisdiction.

No. 350. Ex parte, in the matter of Lambden P. Milligan, petitioner. On a certificate of division of opinion between the judges of the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Indiana.

Chief Justice Chase read the order of the Court, a copy of which we published yesterday.

No. 116. The United States, appellants, vs. The Steamer Victor, and cargo. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida.

The decree of the said District Court in this cause was affirmed.

The United States, appellants, vs. The Schooner Mandoline. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

A motion of Mr. Ashton, Assistant Attorney General, this appeal was dismissed.

No. 185. The United States, appellants, vs. The Mayor, &c., of the city of San Jose. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of California.

The decree in this cause was reversed, and a special decree entered on the stipulation of the respective counsel.

No. 323. Joseph De Haro, et al., appellants, vs. The United States. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the northern district of California.

On motion of Mr. Black, of counsel for the appellants, with the consent of the Attorney General, this appeal was dismissed, but without prejudice to either party to take a new appeal.

No. 382. James Conway, plaintiff in error, vs. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

No. 383, 384, 385, 386, and 387. Wm. Shean, plaintiff in error, vs. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

No. 388 and 389. Patrick Gillon, plaintiff in error, vs. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Error to the Superior Court of the State of Massachusetts.

These writs of error are dismissed for the want of jurisdiction, upon the stipulation of the respective counsel.

No. 6. Original docket ex parte. In the matter of A. H. Garland, of Arkansas, petitioner.

No. 8. Original docket ex parte. In the matter of Robert H. Marx, of Louisiana, petitioner.

Ordered by the Court that these cases be continued until the next term, under advisement.

No. 83. The Barge Springbok, &c., appellants, vs. The United States.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until the next term, under advisement.

No. 141. James G. Barron, plaintiff in error, vs. Granville L. Kindred.

Ordered by the Court that this cause be continued until the next term, under advisement.

No. 283. Alexander J. G. Pearce, plaintiff in error, vs. The State of Missouri; and—

No. 292 J. A. Cummings, plaintiff in error, vs. The State of Missouri.

Ordered by the Court that these causes be continued until the next term, under advisement.

Adjudged until the time and place appointed by law.

A NEW PAPER MILL.—One of the mechanical wonders of modern times is the paper mill. Many us can remember the old-fashioned concerns where the pulp of the ground rags was dipped from a vat in a frame covered with wire gauze and shaken until the water had dripped out, and then laid upon a piece of felt cloth, another piece of felt and another sheet of pulp being laid on until a respectable pile was accumulated, when a blast from a tin horn summoned all the able-bodied men about the establishment to screw down the press and expel the water from the mass. After standing several hours in the "squeezes," the sheets were peeled off and hung up to dry, and then after the lumps were scraped off the sheets were calendered and trimmed, and thus became paper.

Now the rags are put into a grinding engine, the pulp is conveyed upon a revolving screen of wire gauze, through a system of heated rollers, and brought out dry and pressed paper at the opposite end of the machine, where revolving knives cut it into sheets of the size wanted. Now, a more useful invention than this for the rapid production of paper was hardly ever made, and it is a matter of just pride and gratification to the citizens of this District that we have at Georgetown one of the finest new paper manufacturers in the country, owned and conducted by Mr. George Hill, of that city, and situated on the corner of Water and Potomac streets. The mill is provided with the most approved machinery, and it is turning out paper of most excellent quality.

DISTRICT AFFAIRS IN CONCORD.—In the Senate, Tuesday, the bill to incorporate the District of Columbia Canal and Sewage Company was taken up and the amendments reported yesterday agreed to. Some further amendments were offered and the bill was recommitted to the District Committee.

The bill prescribing the mode to settle the accounts of the clerk of the Supreme Court of this District was passed; also, the bill to incorporate the Women's Hospital Association of the District of Columbia; bill to enlarge the powers of the Levy Court; bill to incorporate the National Safe Deposit Company; bill to exempt the property of debtors; bill to amend the act incorporating the Mutual Fire Insurance Company, and the bill to incorporate the Potomac Navigation Company.

ARRESTED AGAIN.—A. H. Nolan, an Irish woman, who keeps a little shop near the quarter master's repair shops in the eastern section of the city, was arrested yesterday evening by Sergeant Miltand and officer Gordon, on a charge of robbing a colored man of a value containing a lot of clothing. Mary Ann Dermott and Ellen McMillan were also arrested for being concerned in the robbery. It appears the colored man went into the place and was invited in the back room by Ann. The other women engaged him in conversation until she had taken the value. They were locked up at the station for hearing. Ann is an old dame at the station for hearing. Ann is an old dame at the station for hearing.

Mr. Justice Swayne delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said circuit court in this cause with costs.

No. 145. James K. Simpson et al., plaintiff in error vs. Austin Dall et al.

In error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Tennessee.

Mr. Justice Davis delivered the opinion of the Court, reversing the judgment of the said Circuit Court, with costs, and remanding the cause with directions to award a *causa factis de novo*.

No. 155. Cornelius P. Buck, plaintiff in error, vs. Horace N. Colbath, and—

No. 136. Same vs. same.

Errors from the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota.

Mr. Justice Miller delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said Supreme Court in these cases, with costs.

No. 66. Louis Desobry, plaintiff in error vs. John Nicholson.

In error to the circuit court of the United States for the eastern district of Louisiana.

Mr. Justice Swayne delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said circuit court in this cause with costs and interest.

No. 145. John H. Durnal, claimant, &c., appellant vs. The United States.

Appeal from the circuit court of the United States for the eastern district of Maryland.

Mr. Justice Swayne delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the judgment of the said circuit court in this cause with costs.

No. 149. The Milwaukee and Minnesota R. R. Co., appellant vs. Chas. Howard.

Appeal from the Circuit Court for the District of Wisconsin.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion of the Court, dismissing this cause for want of jurisdiction.

No. 150. Lawrence G. Graham, et al., appellants, vs. Le Croix and Milwaukee R. R. Co., and

No. 151. The Milwaukee and Minnesota R. R. Co., appellant vs. Selah Chamberlain.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the U. S. for the District of Wisconsin.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion of the Court, affirming the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause with costs.

No. 164. The United States, appellants, vs. Jose Francisco Armejo.

Appeal from the District Court of the U. S. for the Northern District of California.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion

of the Court, affirming the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause with costs.

No. 164. The United States, appellants, vs. Jose Francisco Armejo.

Appeal from the District Court of the U. S. for the Northern District of California.

Mr. Chief Justice Chase delivered the opinion

of the Court, affirming the decree of the said Circuit Court in this cause with costs.

No. 164. The United States, appellants, vs. Jose Francisco Armejo.

Appeal from the District Court of the U. S. for the Northern District of California.